

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/787,391	02/27/2004	Kazuhiro Mino	Q80124	4819		
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 02/24/201 TON PLLC	0	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	YEH, EUENG NAN				
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2624				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/24/2010	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/787,391		MINO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	EUENG-NAN YEH	2624		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NO	IICE	OF.	Αŀ	<u>'PE</u>	<u>AL</u>

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
 - NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
 - 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

 - Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-7,9,11,12 and 14-17.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/VIKKRAM BALI/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/Eueng-nan Yeh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: A) Summary of Applicant's Remark:

"There is simply no correlation between these coordinates and a plurality of facial regions of humans. Accordingly, even if Mitsui could be modified to include Hsieh's human face recognition method, there is no basis for then attaching the digital watermark to the recognized human faces' at Remarks page 4, line 8.

Examiner's Response:

Please reference to Missui figure 30, numeral 711 document identifying unit which is used to generate figure 32 the document format information. "711 identifies the document classification by recognizing the identification number of the document classification by OCR or by recognizing the layout or style of the characters and the frames automatically. The document identifying unit 711 then sends the document information 714 to the document format database 712" Missui column 38, line 7. Thus, Mitsui discloses a system to identify a plurality of non-overlap regions as shown in figure 32 and embed different messages. Hishe teaches a methodology to identify the objects as human faces. "The object of the present invention is to provide a system and method for rapidly tracking multiple faces" at Hsieh column 2, line 6. See also Hsieh figure 1, numeral 11 for face-like region generator. It would be obvious that the non-overlapped coordinates of individual objects disclosed by Mitsui can apply to human faces as suggested by Hsieh.

B) Summary of Applicant's Remark:

"Mitsui does not disclose extracting a plurality of facial regions of humans from within an image, attaching different information, which does not overlap with that of other facial regions, to each of the facial regions" at Remarks page 4, line 16. Examiner's Response:

As discussed above that Mitsui teaches the classification of not overlap objects within an image and Hsieh teaches a methodology to rapidly tracking multiple faces as target objects. It is the combination of Mitsui and Hsieh teaches the claimed subject matter.